

# United States District Court

## WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

JAMES DAVID SHIRELY

### ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING REVOCATION HEARING

Case Number: 1:07-CR-227

In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. §3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending revocation hearing in this case.

#### Part I - Findings of Fact

- ☐ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal offense) (state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is
- ☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. §3156(a)(4).
- ☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.
- ☐ an offense for which the maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.
- ☐ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.
- ☐ (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding (1).
- ☐ (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.

#### Alternate Findings (A)

- ☐ (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense
- ☐ for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ under 18 U.S.C. §924(c).
- ☐ (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.

#### Alternate Findings (B)

- ☒ (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.
- ☐ (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.

Defendant was given a 3-year sentence, with 3 years supervised release for bank robbery. His supervised release began at the end of April 2010 and twice has been modified because the defendant has used marijuana. The first time, on November 15, 2010, defendant was placed on home detention with electronic monitoring for three months. The second time, on July 28, 2011, defendant was placed at the Residential Reentry Center for five months. While placed at the RRC, plaintiff on six occasions during the first (continued on attachment)

#### Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention

Defendant has failed to show by clear and convincing evidence that there are conditions that will assure his presence for future court proceedings. It appears defendant's answer to adversarial problems is to run away from them, which got him into trouble in the first place, and which occurred again here when he knew he was going to be in trouble for drinking and decided to abscond. The situation has not changed (i.e., defendant still faces discipline for drinking) and he has not shown that he will not run away again.

#### Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated: November 7, 2011/s/ Hugh W. Brenneman, Jr.*Signature of Judicial Officer*Hugh W. Brenneman, Jr., United States Magistrate Judge*Name and Title of Judicial Officer*

United States v. James David Shirely  
1:07-CR-227

**ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING REVOCATION HEARING**

Page 2.

**Alternate Findings (B) - (continued)**

part of October used synthetic marijuana, and has more recently used alcohol (which he is prohibited from consuming), and he absconded. Several days after he absconded, the marshals arrested him at his girlfriend's house. Defendant is otherwise employed and attending a couple of classes and has not been a disciplinary problem at the RRC.